Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative Workshop

Presented by: Dr. Daylene Meuschke, Dean, College of the Canyons Dr. Paul Steenhausen, Executive Dir. Success Center for CA Community Colleges Theresa Tena, Vice Chancellor for Institutional Effectiveness, CCCCO Jeff Spano, Dean Institutional Effectiveness, CCCCO Ryan Fuller, Specialist, Information Systems and Analysis, CCCCO Dr. Matthew C. Lee, Project Director, IEPI

March24, 2015



Overview of the Workshop

- Openers and Expectations
- What Is IEPI?
 - Context
 - Outcomes
 - Structure
 - Major Components
- Framework of Indicators
 - Overview and Definitions
 - College Goal Setting Process
 - Accessing Data and Setting Goals
- College Team Breakout Sessions
 - What Groups Should Participate in Process?
 - Process and Timeline for Year 1
 - Process and Timeline for Future Years
 - Refinements



SLOs for Today

- Participants will be able to describe the purpose and the major components of IEPI.
- Participants will be able to describe the indicators framework, requirements for setting goals and best practices for setting goals.
- Participants will be able to apply requirements and best practices to the context of their college in developing a goal-setting plan for their college.



Other Expectations

• What Else Do You Hope to Get Out of Today?



What's the Single Most Important Idea for Community College Effectiveness?



What Is the Context for Our Efforts?

California Community Colleges Are the Largest, Most Effective and Innovative System of Higher Education in the World!

- Celebrate Hundreds of Innovations from the 112 Community Colleges on Nearly Every Topic Imaginable
- Support Disseminating These Innovations and Effective Practices Throughout the System
- Recognize that 1 in 5 of Nation's Community College Students Attends a California Community College



Mapping New Statewide Initiatives: California Community Colleges Student Success Framework

	ACCESS & ALIGNMENT	INTAKE & GUIDANCE	TEACHING & STUDENT LEARNING/PROGRESS	DATA TO INFORM DECISION-MAKING	
	col	MMON ASSESSMENT INITIATIVE/MUL	TIPLE MEASURES ASSESSMENT PROJE	ст	
		(SSSP, EDUCATION	STUDENT SUCCESS ACT (SB 1456) PLANS/GOALS, ACADEMIC STANDARDS	S FOR FEE WAIVERS)	
		EDUCATION PLANNING INITIATIVE			
	ONLINE EDUCATION INITIATIVE		ONLINE EDUCATION INITIATIVE		
STUDENTS	C-ID & SB 1440 TRANSFER DEGREES		C-ID & S TRANSFEF	SB 1440 R DEGREES	
ALL STU	BACCALAUREATE PILOTS		BACCALAUR	EATE PILOTS	
	DOING WHAT MATTERS FOR JOBS & THE ECONOMY		DOING WHAT MATTERS FOR JOBS & THE ECONOMY		(GRADUATION, JOB OTHER GOALS)
	CAREER PATHWAYS TRUST		CAREER PATHWAYS TRUST		, JOB, (LS)
				SALARY SURFER & LAUNCHBOARD	
				SCORECARD & SYSTEM/LOCAL GOALS	
г S			N CONSORTIUM PROGRAM		
STUDENT SUBGROUPS		SB 1391 PRISON EDUCATION			
SUE		STUDENT EQUITY	PLANS/PROGRAM		



What Is the Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative? It Is:

- Designed to Advance Community Colleges' Institutional Effectiveness Drawing on Expertise within the System
- Funded by California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office
- A Five-Year Grant (Ongoing): December 2014 to June 30, 2019
- \$2.5 million per year



What Are the Desired IEPI Outcomes?

- Continue to Advance California Community Colleges as the Most Effective System of Higher Education in the World
- Eliminate Accreditation Sanctions and Audit Findings at the Local College Level
- Expand Access for Our Students and Attainment of Their Educational Goals



What Is the Structure of the Initiative?

Executive Committee

- Chancellor's Office
- College of the Canyons
- Foothill College
- Academic Senate for CCC
- Success Center for CCC, Funded by the Kresge Foundation and Administered through the FCCC



Advisory Committee

- Nominations from 16 Statewide Organizations
- Appointments by the ASCCC
- Monthly Meetings Began January 26
- Advisory Committee Workgroups Provide Input on the Major Components of IEPI



What Are the Major Components of the IEPI?

- Framework of Indicators
- Professional Development
- Technical Assistance through Partnership Resource Teams (PRTs)
- Advocacy for Systemic and Policy Changes



What Is the Framework of Indicators?

- Is Required by Legislature
- Draws Heavily on Existing Resources for Year 1
- Was Developed by Advisory Committee Workgroup
- Draws in Part on Input from Fiscal Experts
- Is to Have v1.0 Implemented by June 30, 2015
- Includes:
 - Student Performance and Outcomes (e.g., Scorecard)
 - Accreditation Status
 - Fiscal Viability
 - Compliance w/State and Federal Programmatic Guidelines
- Much More Later in This Session



What Is the Focus of the Professional Development Component?

- Disseminate Effective Practices for Institutional Effectiveness
- Identify Pitfalls to Avoid
- Include an Online Clearinghouse Supplemented by Regional Workshops



What Is the Online Clearinghouse?

- Coordinated by Success Center for California
 Community Colleges, in Collaboration with TTIP South and Other Key Partners
- Pulls Together Hundreds of Resources by Topic (e.g. Integrated Planning, SLO Assessment, Basic Skills, Workforce Training, Board Governance, etc.), Highlighting Exemplary Practices
- Will Explore Modern Tools Such As Predictive Analytics (like Netflix and Amazon)



Professional Development Regional Workshops

- Complement Online Clearinghouse
- Host in the Northern, Central and Southern Parts of the State
- Coordinate and Co-present with Other Statewide Organizations Whenever Possible
- Started in March 2015



Professional Development Regional Workshop Topics in Spring/Summer

- During Today's Workshop:
 - What Is IEPI?
 - What are the New Indicators and Goals Colleges Need to Set this Spring?
 - 436 Participants from 99 Colleges and 19 District Offices
- Other Possible Topics for Spring and Summer:
 - Student Support Redefined
 - Enrollment Management
- Developing a Calendar for Year 2



How Will the Partnership Resource Team

(Technical Assistance) Visits Be Structured?

- Team Members' Expertise Designed to Match College Areas of Focus
- Not Just a Single Visit: Each Team Commits to 3 Visits or More As Needed. Designed to:
 - Understand Issues and Identify Scope of Support
 - Develop Ideas for College's (2 Page) Innovation and Effectiveness Plan in Areas Such As:
 - Accreditation Issues
 - Budget, Fiscal, and Audit Issues
 - Integrated Planning
 - Board Governance
 - SLO Assessment Tools and Processes
 - Follow Up Support As Needed



Partnership Resource Teams

- Grants of Up to \$150,000 as Seed Money to Expedite Implementation of College's Innovation and Effectiveness Plan (Available Until Funds Run Out)
- 16 College CEO Completed Letters of Interest So Far
- Review of Letters of Interest from College CEOs began March 12th
- Announcement of Scheduling in Late March
- Team Visits Start in April 2015



PRT Expert Pool

- Nominations from Statewide Organizations and Others
- Appointments from Academic Senate
- Over 120 Have Volunteered for Pool to Date
- Surveys Completed by Volunteers to Identify Strengths



Framework of Indicators, Part 2

- Initial Recommendation from Advisory Committee on February 5
- Reviewed at Consultation Council on February 19
- Updated Recommendation from Advisory Committee on Goals Framework Requirement, Including Timeline, March 9
- Data for Colleges Being Assembled by CCCCO and sent to Colleges in March
- Framework of Indicators to BOG for action March 16



Indicators Continued

- Indicators Workgroup Is Developing Recommendations for v2.0
 - Additional Measures
 - Access
 - Student Equity (Connected to Student Equity Plan)
 - Timelines for Beyond Year 1
 - Integration with Other Indicator Systems and Goals



What Indicators Are in the Framework?

Student Outcomes

- Completion
 - Prepared
 - Unprepared
 - Overall
- Remedial Rate
 - Math
 - English
 - ESL
- CTE Completion Rate
- *Course Completion Rate*
- Degrees
- Certificates
- Transfers

Accreditation Status

Accreditation Status

Fiscal Viability

- Salary and Benefits
- FTES
- Annual Operating Excess/Deficiency
- *Fund Balance*
- Cash Balance

State and Federal Programmatic Compliance

Overall Audit Opinion









STUDENT SUCCESS SCORECARD

Statewide

500		wide	•				Click here to select a different college
		M	OMENTUM POIN	TS	COMP	LETION OUTCOMES	
PROFI	LE	REMEDIAL	PERSISTENCE	30 UNITS	DEGREE/TRANSFER	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	

Completion

Click here to view trend data

Percentage of degree, certificate and/or transfer-seeking students starting first time in 2007-08 tracked for six years through 2012-13 who completed a degree, certificate or transfer-related outcomes.

% 49.2 46.9 % 50.9	48.1%
49.2 46.9 %	
46.9 %	
%	
50.9	
37.2	
35.2	
33.9	
%	
37.6	
65.7	
51.1	
39.1	
42.7	
52.5	
AD NJ	35.2 33.9 37.5 37.6 65.7 51.1 39.1 2 42.7

0%: Cohort with no students attaining an outcome N/A: Cohort has no students

*: Cohort fewer than 10 students

Methodology

COLLEGE PREPARED: Student's lowest course attempted in Math and/or English was college level UNPREPARED FOR COLLEGE: Student's lowest course attempted in Math and/or English was remedial level OVERALL: Student attempted any level of Math or English in the first three years

View CDCP (Non-credit):

View Printable Scorecard Reports:

Career Development/College Preparation

Current Year Five Year





STUDENT SUCCESS SCORECARD

Statewide

						Click here to select a different college
	M	OMENTUM POINT	TS	COM	PLETION OUTCOMES	
PROFILE	REMEDIAL	PERSISTENCE	30 UNITS	DEGREE/TRANSFER	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	

Remedial

Click here to view trend data

Percentage of credit students tracked for six years through 2012-13 who started first time in 2007-08 below transfer level in English, mathematics, and/or ESL and completed a college-level course in the same discipline.

MATH		30.6%	ENGLISH		43.	6%	ESL		27.1%
Gender	%		Gender	%			Gender	%	
EMALE	32.1		FEMALE	45.7			FEMALE	27.9	
/ALE	28.7		MALE	41.1			MALE	26.2	
Age	%		Age	%	_		Age	%	
UNDER 20	33.5		UNDER 20	48.8			UNDER 20	48.9	
20-24	29.0		20-24	36.8			20-24	38.5	
25-39	27.9		25-39	35.7			25-39	20.4	
40 OR OVER	23.2		40 OR OVER	29.8			40 OR OVER	11.6	
Ethnicity/Race	%	_	Ethnicity/Race	%	_		Ethnicity/Race	%	
AFRICAN AMERICAN	17.4		AFRICAN AMERICAN	28.9			AFRICAN AMERICAN	24.9	
AMERICAN INDIAN/ ALASKA NATIVE	22.8		AMERICAN INDIAN/ ALASKA NATIVE	34.4			AMERICAN INDIAN/ ALASKA NATIVE	17.9	
SIAN	44.4		ASIAN	58.9			ASIAN	36.4	
ILIPINO	35.5		FILIPINO	51.6			FILIPINO	30.1	
HISPANIC	28.5		HISPANIC	40.0			HISPANIC	16.9	
PACIFIC ISLANDER	26.7		PACIFIC ISLANDER	40.0			PACIFIC ISLANDER	28.7	
WHITE	34.9		WHITE	47.9			WHITE	31.1	

Cohort fewer than 10 students

Methodology

View CDCP (Non-credit):

View Printable Scorecard Reports:

Five Year

Current Year

Career Development/College Preparation

1A				ncellor's Office ystems Data	a Mart		
J.GOV	Home	Students	Courses	Student Servi	ces Outcom	nes Faculty &	/pe views for Special up, please click
ou are here : Data M	/lart > Outcom	es > Retention/Su	uccess Rate				
	С	redit Course Re	tention/Succes	s Rate Summary R	eport - Parameter	Selection Area	
Select State-D Statewide Se		Select D	istrict-College	Select Te	m	Select Program [*]	
Select Instruc	tion Method	Select D	E Inst. Method	•	View Report		ccess for Special oup, please click
Export To ->	• Excel			Records Per Page			yout O Advanced Layout
		Credit Course	Ketention/Suo	ess Rate Summar		Format Area	
Report Area	Enro	llment Count	Retention	n Count S	iccess Count	Retention Rate	Success Rate
Grand Total							
Report Format Sele Demographic Optic District Name		TOP Options		Cou	se Status Basic Skills		
College Name			Type - Four Digits		Degree Applicable		
College Name Gender			Type - Four Digits Type - Six Digits T		Degree Applicable Fransfer		

	✓ Transfer
--	------------

Program Type - Six Digits TOP

Age Group

Ethnicity

✓	Vocationa

Update Report

C → Mtps://misweb.cccco.edu/ P + A C S Institutional Effectiveness ×	- □ ×
<u>File Edit V</u> iew F <u>a</u> vorites <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp	
California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS	^
Login Select a District	
Welcome to the Institutional Effectiveness reporting portal for the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office.	
Please Select a District District	
Select	
California Community Colleges, Chancellor's Office 1102 Q Street Sacramento, California 95811 Send questions to TE je@cccco.edu © 2015 State of California. All Rights Reserved.	
	~
	🔍 100% 🔻 🖽



- Chancellor's Office web link:
 - IE Monitoring portal <u>https://misweb.cccco.edu/ie</u>
- After March 27, college/district CEOs will designate staff to set college/district goals, and those staff will receive the necessary passcodes.



	http://localhost:63665/Dist 🔎								<u>□</u> □ ☆ ŵ
			dicator Kates	×					w w
le <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> i	ew F <u>a</u> vorites <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> e	p							
	ogin Select a District	California Co	mmunity College			IESS			
D	istrict Indicator Rate								
R	equired Goals	Indicator	2015-2016 Goal	2013-2014	2012-2013	2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010	
Fi	scal Viability and Programmatic								
	Fund	Balance Ending unrestri					20.4		
	A	C 1	0.0	15.8	14.8	17.0	20.4	14.9	
	Audit	Findings Unmodified aud	litor's report without int		Jes				
	ptional Goals scal Viability and Programmatic	Salaries and be		N of unrestricted ge	eneral fund expe	enditures, exclu	uding other outgo	ing	
	Salary and	Benefits expenditures	0.0	85.2	83.4	86.5	85.2	85.5	
	Annual Operating (De	Excess/ ficiency) Net increase or			05.4	00.5	05.2	05.5	
			0	385,370	574,813	(266,107)	(1,675,842)	(71,848)	
	Cash	Balance Unrestricted an	d restricted general fun	d cash balance, (excluding inves	tments			
			0	11,872,799	7,919,159	4,781,852	6,659,749	6,785,889	
	ollege Indicator Rates Ian Hancock College								
									100%



	//localhost:63665/Coll		or Rates ×			100	-	-	60 S
Edit View	F <u>a</u> vorites <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> e	lp							
0	GOV	California Commu	nity Colleges Chance NAL EFFE			SS			
Logi	n Select a District								
Colle	ege Indicator Rate	es - Allan Hancock Col	lege						
	Indicator		2015-2016 Goal	2013-2014	2012-2013	2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010	
	ired Goals at Performance and Outcom	les							
	essful Course pletion (Datamart)	Percentage of credit course enrollr	ments where student earn	ed a grade of (C or better				
			0.0	72.0	71.8	70.0	69.2	72.4	
Accred	litation Status								
Accr	editation Status	Latest Accrediting Commission for	Community and Junior C	olleges (ACC.	IC) action				
		No Action	~	No Action	No Action	No Action	No Action	No Action	
Date	of Next Visit	Date of next accreditation visit - inf	formation item, no target o	ollected					
Optio	nal Goals								
	t Performance and Outcom	les							
	pletion Rate recard)	Percentage of degree, certificate, a degree, certificate, or transfer relea		dents starting	first timetrac	ked for six yea	ars who compl	leted a	
		Student's lowest course attempted	in Math and/or English w	as college leve	el				
	College Prepared		0.0	0.0	61.2	64.7	59.6	65.2	
	Unprepared for	Student's lowest course attempted	in Math and/or English w	as pre-collegia	ate level				
	College		0.0	0.0	36.6	39.1	35.3	39.8	
	5	Student attempted any level of Mat	h or English in the first th	ree years					
	Overall		0.0	0.0	45.4	48.8	44.7	47.9	
	edial Rate recard)	Percentage of credit students track completed a college-level course in		ed below tran	sfer level in E	nglish, mather	natics, and/or	ESL and	
	Math		0.0	0.0	31.0	30.6	26.2	26.7	
			0.0	0.0	40.9	41.0	37.2	40.3	



Optional Goals							
Student Performance and Outcom	es						
Completion Rate (Scorecard)	Percentage of degree, certificate, an degree, certificate, or transfer releat		nts starting fi	st timetracked	d for six years	s who complete	ed a
	Student's lowest course attempted in	n Math and/or English was	college level				
College Prepared		0.0	0.0	61.2	64.7	59.6	65.2
Unprepared for	Student's lowest course attempted i	n Math and/or English was	pre-collegiate	level			
College		0.0	0.0	36.6	39.1	35.3	39.8
	Student attempted any level of Math	or English in the first three	years				
Overall		0.0	0.0	45.4	48.8	44.7	47.9
Remedial Rate (Scorecard)	Percentage of credit students tracke completed a college-level course in		below transfe	r level in Engl	ish, mathema	atics, and/or Es	SL and
Math		0.0	0.0	31.0	30.6	26.2	26.7
English		0.0	0.0	40.9	41.0	37.2	40.3
ESL		0.0	0.0	11.5	9.8	9.2	8.3
Career Technical Education Rate (Scorecard)	Percentage of students tracked for s education in a single discipline who				es classified	as career tech	nical
		0.0	0.0	47.8	51.6	49.2	51.9
Completion of Degrees (Datamart)	Number of associate degrees award	ed					
		0	1,151	954	909	1,019	891
Completion of Certificates (Datamart)	Number of Chancellor's office appro	ved certificates awarded					
		0	720	640	562	669	636
	Compliance with State and Federal G						
Full-Time Eqivalent	Annual number of full-time equivaler		9,603	9,452	9,378	10,165	10.091
Full-Time Eqivalent Students		0					



100%

-

What Is the Connection with the Scorecard and ACCJC Annual Report?

Indicator	Scorecard	ACCJC / Datamart
Completion	*	
Remedial Rate	*	
CTE Completion	*	
Course Completion		*
Degrees		*
Certificates		\bigstar
Transfers		*



How Are the College Goals Different from System Goals?

- System Goals
 - Completion Rate
 - Number of ADTs
 - Completion Rate for Disadvantaged Groups and Equity Index
 - Participation Rate
 - Number of Student Education Plans



College Goal Setting

- Year 1 Requirements:
 - Adopt Indicators Framework (18 Indicators)
 - Set Goals for At Least One Indicator Per Category:
 - Student Outcomes: Course Success Rate
 - Accreditation: Accreditation Status (e.g., Reaffirmed)
 - Fiscal Viability: Fund Balance
 - State and Federal Programmatic Compliance: Overall Audit Opinion (e.g., Unmodified)



Year 1 Goal Setting

- Connect with Other Goals Already Set
 - E.g. Fund Balance, Success Rate from ACCJC Institution-Set Standard
 - Can be but does not have to be the same
- Should Be Challenging but Need Not Always Be Higher
 - E.g., Fund balance may currently be 12 percent
 - Goal does not have to be higher than 12 percent



Year 1 Goal Setting

- Accreditation Status: E.g.
 - 6-year Goal: Reaffirmed
 - 1-Year Goal may be NA
- Overall Audit Opinion: E.g.
 - Unmodified



Year 1 Goal Setting

- Can the College Do More If They Feel Others Are Important to Set in Year 1?
 - Yes
 - Some have volunteered to do all 18 for Lesson
 Learned Presentation in Fall



Goals

- What Happens If We Don't Achieve Goals?
 - Nothing, as long as the college tried.
 - The most important activities for meaningful impacts on our students' success have the greatest risk, but are worth trying!



Process

- Locally Determined
- Best Practice Is to Engage Groups on Campus, Such As:
 - Indicators Committee
 - Budget Committee
 - Planning Committee
 - Academic Senate
 - Classified Group
 - Board of Trustees



Mechanics of Accessing Data and Setting Goals



Goals

Who are the important groups on your campus?



Process / Timeline

• What is the process and timeline that makes the most sense for Year 1? Future Years?



Adjustments Based on Report-Outs

 How would you adjust plans based on reportouts?



Workshop Evaluations

- Please complete the Workshop evaluation form: <u>https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/IEPI</u> <u>WorkshopsMarch2015</u>
- Thank you for your participation today!



Contact Information

- Theresa Tena, VC IE, Chancellor's Office, <u>ttena@cccco.edu</u>
- Dr. Dianne Van Hook, Chancellor, College of the Canyons, <u>dianne.vanhook@canyons.edu</u>
- Dr. Barry Gribbons, Deputy Chancellor, College of the Canyons, <u>barry.gribbons@canyons.edu</u>
- Dr. Matthew Lee, IEPI Project Director, <u>matthew@mcleeconsulting.com</u>
- Dr. Paul Steenhausen, Ex Dir., Success Center, psteenhausen@cccco.edu
- www.canyons.edu/IEPI

